### BREAKOUT SESSION 6 GROUP A

BREAKOUT TOPIC 1: Please take a count on the following question: Given that communication forums like Science Quarterly Briefings and the MEG are filling some past roles of NPSR, is the NPSR needed going forward?

Frequency: Annual or every 2 years? Annual

Focus: External (non-NWS) participation (NOAA labs, private

entities)

Name: NPS Strategy?

BREAKOUT TOPIC 2: Please comment briefly on whether replacing open mic discussions with breakout sessions was a successful endeavor:

Comment: A resounding YES!

- 1. Could consider some read-ahead material (e.g. action items and background material from prior year's NPSR).
- 2. More thought and time for breakout group themes. Additional background material on the selected themes.
  - 3. Address data/product dissemination issues.
  - 4. Early engagement with OAR labs, stakeholders

# BREAKOUT SESSION 6 GROUP B

BREAKOUT TOPIC 1: Please take a count on the following question: Given that communication forums like Science Quarterly Briefings and the MEG are filling some past roles of NPSR, is the NPSR needed going forward?

BREAKOUT TOPIC 2: Please comment briefly on whether replacing open mic discussions with breakout sessions was a successful endeavor:

Comment: Yes, but maybe fewer breakout topics, balance between one-way and two-way communication

- 1. Background information in advance of the meetings
- 2. Expanding NPSR participation
- 3. Follow-up after the meeting

# BREAKOUT SESSION 6 GROUP C

BREAKOUT TOPIC 1: Please take a count on the following question: Given that communication forums like Science Quarterly Briefings and the MEG are filling some past roles of NPSR, is the NPSR needed going forward?

BREAKOUT TOPIC 2: Please comment briefly on whether replacing open mic discussions with breakout sessions was a successful endeavor:

Comment: Very successful, great, more introduction and background. need should drive number of breakouts.

- 1. Breakout topics carefully selected for direct interaction between forecasters, developers, prework helpful based on stakeholder developer interaction
  - 2. Broaden audience--whole weather enterprise
  - 3. Review resolution of outstanding issues/action items
  - 4. Breakouts accessible to remote participants

### BREAKOUT SESSION 6 GROUP D

BREAKOUT TOPIC 1: Please take a count on the following question: Given that communication forums like Science Quarterly Briefings and the MEG are filling some past roles of NPSR, is the NPSR needed going forward?

YES\_13\_ NO\_0\_\_ Each of these play a role in the overall communication between field and developers. NPSR (esp. this year) more broad, with action items.

BREAKOUT TOPIC 2: Please comment briefly on whether replacing open mic discussions with breakout sessions was a successful endeavor:

Comment: YES, generally, but see below.

- 1. FOLLOW-UP: report on NPSR action items to be shared broadly, e.g. at a MEG meeting with schedule, at Quarterly Sci Reviews.
- 2. RE-INTRODUCE: sufficient time for "open mic/ask the branch chiefs" and higher-level mgmt (e.g. Lapenta, Farrar, et al) including Q&A, some presentations (e.g. targeted at a high-level/previous NPSR subject matter/actions).
- 3. PRIOR TO NPSR: Presentations available, questions for break-outs ahead of time, break-out session suggestions.
  - 4. BREAK-OUTS: have an expert on each topic in the room.

## BREAKOUT SESSION 6 GROUP E

BREAKOUT TOPIC 1: Please take a count on the following question: Given that communication forums like Science Quarterly Briefings and the MEG are filling some past roles of NPSR, is the NPSR needed going forward?

YES\_X\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_

BREAKOUT TOPIC 2: Please comment briefly on whether replacing open mic discussions with breakout sessions was a successful endeavor:

Comment: Yes, this was a successful endeavor. If telephone connection access would be possible, that would enable more remote participation.

- 1. Start planning process earlier with formation of a full community planning committee.
- 2. Provide information exchange on production suite modeling systems, through non-plenary meetings such as read-aheads, posters, etc.
- 3. Interaction is useful. Feedback improves level of engagement. Looking at things to-gather, e.g., major changes, themes, priorities, and yearly cycles. Get feedback from field early. Start planning sooner.